

Republic of the Philippines **Department of Education** REGION IV-A CALABARZON

03 January 2025

Regional Memorandum No. 9 s. 2025

MONITORING AND VALIDATION OF THE AUDIT REPORT OF THE 2025 PROGRAMME FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDENT ASSESSMENT (PISA) SCHOOL READINESS AND WAYS FORWARD

To Schools Division Superintendents Regional Chiefs All Others Concerned

- 1. Pursuant to OSEC-OASOPS-2024-A1904 titled "Implementation of School Readiness Audit" and OO-OSEC-2024-303 on the Operationalization of the Programme for the International Student Assessment Readiness Technical Working Group, this Office issues the validation of the Audit Report on School Readiness of the PISA participating Schools and ways forward.
- 2. This activity aims to :
 - a. validate the data entries submitted by schools to ensure accuracy and completeness across key areas of assessment ;
 - b. consolidate and analyze school level audit findings to identify key results areas for improvement ;
 - c. Identify priority areas for support and urgent areas for intervention; and
 - d. provide Technical Assistance (TA) to PISA participating schools; and
 - e. strictly follow the schedule of activities on PISA readiness
- 3. The validating team is composed of the Regional Director, Assistant Regional Director, Regional chiefs, Regional Education Program Supervisors, Regional ICT, Regional Testing Coordinator, Division Information Technology Officer of PISA participating SDOs and other identified monitors. Please refer to the Enclosures, namely:
 - a. **Enclosure 1**. Monitoring Tool for PISA School Readiness
 - b. **Enclosure 2.** Roles and Functions of the Regional Office (RO) and Schools Division Offices (SDO) and other stakeholders
 - c. **Enclosure 3.** Guide in the Preparation of RO and SDO Report on PISA Readiness School Audit
 - d. **Enclosure 4.** Composition of Executive committee, Technical Working Groups/ monitoring and validating teams and schedule of validation visits of RO and SDOs to PISA Participating Schools
 - e. Enclosure 5. Ways Forward





- 4. Expenses relative to PISA activities shall be charged against local funds subject to the usual accounting and auditing rules and regulations.
- 5. Counterparting arrangement is highly encouraged to ensure the readiness of the participating schools based on existing policies and guidelines on partnerships. The SDO counterparts and other stakeholders are expected to provide support but not limited to the use of computers, laboratories, generator sets, internet connectivity and its peripherals and provision of capability building activities for teachers, orientation to parents, transportation, meals and potable water.
- For queries and other details, please contact the Quality Assurance Division at (02) 86822114 local 450 or email at <u>qad.calabarzon@deped.gov.ph</u> and Curriculum and Learning Management Division at email address <u>clmd.calabarzon@deped.gov.ph</u>.
- 7. Strict compliance of all concerned is enjoined.

ATTY. ALBERTO T. ESCOBARTE, CESO II Regional Director

05/ROQ1



Enclosure 1. Monitoring Tool for PISA School Readiness

2025 Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) Monitoring Tool for PISA School Readiness

Source: Joint Memorandum OSEC-OSOPS-2024-A1904, PISA Readiness School Audit Checklist

Part 1. School Profile

School:	_School ID :	_
Name of School Head :	_Contact Number :	
School Address:		
Schools Division Office	Date of Monitoring:	
Student Population (15-year old Learners)	: MaleFemaleTotal	
Total Number of 15 year Old Learners with	h Disabilities: MaleFemaleTotal	
Teacher-Student Ratio by Learning Area: H	EnglishScienceMath	
Total number of identified learners who ar	re PISA Takers: MaleFemaleTotal	_
Number of Learners with computers provid		
Ma	aleFemaleTotal	
Percentage of Learners with computers: M	IaleFemaleTotal	

Part II. School Readiness

Instruction: Please check each criterion per indicator below which corresponds to the key areas of assessment on school readiness:

Met Partially Met	 if the criterion is fully achieved and meets the required standards. if the criterion is partially achieved, with some gaps or limitations needing minor
Not Met	adjustments - if the criterion is not achieved and requires significant intervention and support

Please use additional sheets if needed. Thank you.



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Indicator 1: Resources and Infrastructure

Indicators	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	MOVs
1.1. Internet, ICT Equipment and Electric	ity			
1.1.1 Computer-to-Student Ratio: Does the school have a sufficient number of computers for all participating learners?				Certificate from the Division Information Technology Officer (DITO) re: computer ratio with at least five (5) buffer computers Inventory of equipment signed by the property custodian.
1.1.2 Computer Specifications: Are the computers up-to-date and able to handle assessment software and internet requirements?(Including computer network-must be wired)				DITO Certificate of compliance re: Specification requirements.
Device Requirement:				
Screen Size at least 24.6cm(9.5")				Test Result from https://schoolreadin
Resolution at least 1366 x 768 pixel				ess-qa.pisa.aces.org/
System Requirement				Network Plan signed
Windows, MacOS, Linux and ChromeOS				provider or ICT coordinator verified and reviewed by
Internet Connectivity				DITO.
at leat 4mb per second(40mbps(upload				
at leat 1.2 mb per second(12 mbps) upload				Results of test parameters set in the guidelines signed by the ICT coordinator, noted by the School Head and verified by the DITO.



Department of Education

1.1.3 Maintenance and IT Support: Are		SDO Order or School
personnel available to maintain the		Order-designation for
computers and resolve technical issues?		IT support Staff
		Division or School
		Memo for the ICT
		Equipment
		Maintenance Schedule
		Report on the
		Conduct of ICT Maintenance
		Maintenance
1.1.4 Internet Connectivity: Is there a		Contract
reliable and stable internet connection in		website test for
testing rooms? Is the connection speed		connectivity (
adequate to prevent interruptions?		https://schoolreadin
		ess-qa.pisa.aces.org/
)
1.1.5 Power Supply: Is there a consistent		
and uninterrupted power supply? Are there		Inventory of electric power related
backup power sources (generators or UPS)		equipment (Gen set,
in case of outages?		UPS, etc.)
		Certification from the
		electric company
		stating that there
		shall be no power interruption on the
		day of the
		examination.
		Contract/MOA (rent, donation)
		Standby Diesel
		Power Generator
		(25KVA, 230V, 60
		HZ)
Sub-Total		
Concerns/Challenges:	<u>I I</u>	
l		



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Intervention/Plan of Action: Recommendation/Agreement: 1.2. Testing Environment and Disaster Resilience 1.2.1 Dedicated Testing Spaces: Are there Actual inspection designated PISA Centers, or quiet areas report showing lay that can be used for testing? out of surroundings and designated testing space are free from disruptions and meets the criteria of conducive testing centers. Inspection Report 1.2.2 Ergonomic Arrangements: Are indicating that the desks and seating comfortable and suitable desks are compliant for extended testing periods? with standards. The chair's height and adjustability can handle a long period of time. Feedback from test takers. Photographic plan for the testing arrangement duly signed by the SDO counterparts. (To be validated by *RO during the visit.*) Compliant to DO 1.2.3 Room Conditions: Are rooms well-lit, 64, s. 2017 ventilated, and temperature-controlled for optimal concentration? (Sufficient and well-lit rooms reduce glare and eve fatigue.) Actual observation re: Comfortable



Department of Education

	room temperature and airflow
	Identified measures for noise control for better focus.
	Actual observation re: Illumination of at least 500 Lux meter.
1.2.4 DRRM Planning and Emergency Preparedness : Is there a disaster preparedness plan in place, and does the	Contingency Plan for PISA addressing the following:
school have the capacity to conduct PISA- related activities in the event of natural disasters or other emergencies?	A documented and approved disaster preparedness plan that outlines protocols for emergencies, including those that may disrupt PISA- related activities.
	Written procedures detailing step-by- step actions for staff and students in case of emergencies, specifically for maintaining assessment integrity during disasters.
	A contingency plan detailing how PISA- related activities can transition to remote or online formats if an emergency occurs, including IT infrastructure readiness and student access.
	A document outlining the allocation of resources (e.g., personnel, financial resources) for maintaining operations in the event of an



Republic of the Philippines Department of Education

	emergency impacting PISA activities.
1.2.5 Risk management: Have risk assessments been conducted to minimize potential disruptions?	Risk Management Plan to focus on the following but not limited to :-Conduct technical
Sub-Total	
Concerns/Challenges:	
Intervention/Plan of Action:	



Department of Education

Recommendation/Agreement:		
1.3. Access to Potable Water and Sanitatio	n Decilities	
1.3. Access to Potable water and Sanitatio	n Facilities	
1.3.1 Availability of Drinking Water : Are water fountains or dispensers accessible in testing areas?		Site inspection Report confirming the presence and condition of potable water duly signed. Diagrams or maps highlighting the placement of water fountains or dispensers are in the testing areas. Responses from learners or staff evaluating the accessibility and functionality of the water stations during the test through feedback forms. Photos showing the location and accessibility of water fountains or dispensers, demonstrating they are within easy reach of test-takers
1.3.2 Sanitation Facilities : Are adequate and well-maintained restrooms close to testing areas, especially for long assessment		Access to Wash facilities for men, women, and all
days?		genders. Documentation from site inspections confirming the location, adequacy, and condition of restrooms near testing areas are well



Republic of the Philippines Department of Education

		maintained and duly signed by the concerned personnel.Photos showing the restrooms' proximity to testing areas, cleanliness, and overall maintenanceDiagrams or maps highlighting the location of restrooms about the testing areas, ensuring they are easily accessible.Diagrams or maps highlighting the location of restrooms about the testing areas, ensuring they are easily accessible.Diagrams or maps highlighting the location of restrooms about the testing areas, ensuring they are easily accessible.Responses from learners or staff regarding the accessibility, cleanliness, and availability of		
Sub-Total		restrooms during the testing period or mock tests.		
Concerns/Challenges:				
Intervention/Plan of Action:				
Recommendation/Agreement:				



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Indicator 2: Teacher and Staff Capacity

Indicators	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	MOVs
2.1. Teacher Readiness and Training				
2.1.1 PISA-Focused Training : Have teachers received training specifically for PISA-related competencies in Reading, Math, and Science?				Certificate of Participation LAC Plan/Memorandum
2.1.2 Higher-order Thinking Skills (HOTS): Are teachers trained in developing learners' critical thinking, problem-solving, and analytical skills?				Certificate of Participation in HOTS Training. WAP/DLL or DLP/Test Bank/Questions (SOLO Model)
2.1.3 Content Mastery : Do teachers have the necessary subject matter expertise in areas tested by PISA?				COT Rating DLL/DLP TOR/Diploma/Certificatio n
Sub-Total				
Concerns/Challenges: Intervention/Plan of Action:				
Recommendation/Agreement:				
2.2. Professional Development Opportur	ities			
2.2.1 Regular Training Programs : Are there regular training sessions for teachers to keep updated with the latest teaching strategies?				LAC Plan LAC Attendance Certificate of Participation in Related training
2.2.2 Mentorship Programs : Is there access to mentoring from experienced teachers or subject experts?				Performance Monitoring and Coaching Form (PMCF)



Department of Education

			Result of Coaching/Mentoring Plan
2.2.3 Participation in Mock PISA Sessions : Are teachers given opportunities to participate in or observe mock PISA assessments to familiarize themselves with the process?			Attendance Sheets Certificate of Attendance/Participa tion
Sub-Total			
Concerns/Challenges:			
Intervention/Plan of Action:			
Recommendation/Agreement:			
Indicator 2.3. Teacher-to-Student Ratio	and Sup	port Staff	
2.3.1 Adequate Staffing : Is there a sufficient number of teachers per learner, especially in key areas (Math, Science, English)?			Teacher Schedule and no. of Learners per ESM Class
2.3.2 Support Staff for Logistics : Are there administrative and support staff to assist in logistical preparations for PISA sessions?			School Readiness Plan with admin and support staff assistance for PISA learning sessions
Sub-Total			
Concerns/Challenges:			
Intervention/Plan of Action:			
Recommendation/Agreement:			



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Indicator 3: Learner Readiness

Indicators	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	MOVs
3.1. Engagement in PISA-Aligned Learnin	ng Activ	rities		
Exposure to PISA-Like Questions : Do learners regularly practice PISA-format questions in Reading Comprehension, Mathematics, and Science?				Sample PISA questions used by learners during practice activities or learning sessions. Schedule of learning sessions. Teacher-made Test Item Bank Compilation of Summative and Formative Assessments using online platform Compilation of Reading Materials used in
Problem-Solving and Real-World Applications : Are learners encouraged to apply their learning to real-world situations?				teaching Sample problem solving questions Teacher-made Test Item Bank
				Compilation of Learning Activity Sheets using online platform
Collaborative Learning Opportunities : Are learners engaged in group activities that enhance critical thinking and collaboration skills?				Schedule of learning sessions Sample of online group activities Learner's Portfolio
Sub-Total				
Concerns/Challenges: 	·			



Department of Education

Recommendation/Agreement:			
3.2. Academic Support for Economically	Disadva	antaged Learne	rs
Provision of Learning Materials : Are textbooks, computers, tablets, or additional resources provided to underprivileged learners?			List of LMs used Report/Inventory of LMs delivered and distributed to learners SF 3 – Books Issued and Returned
Nutrition and Meal Programs : Are meals or snacks provided for learners who may have nutritional needs, particularly on testing days?			Meal Attendance of learners during learning sessions
Transportation and Logistical Support : Are there arrangements to help disadvantaged learners travel to school for PISA preparation sessions?			Inventory of learners who availed transportation and logistical support.
Sub-Total			
Concerns/Challenges:			
Intervention/Plan of Action:			
Recommendation/Agreement:			
Indicator 3.3. Mock Assessments and Pra	ictice T	ests	
Regular Mock PISA Assessments : Are mock tests administered to prepare learners for the testing environment?			Schedule of PISA Mock Tests. Raw and processed assessment results
Feedback Mechanisms : Are learners given feedback on their mock assessments to identify areas for improvement?			Report on feedback from the learners on



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

				the identified areas for improvement.
				Processed/Analyzed feedback report
Sub-Total				
Concerns/Challenges:				
Intervention/Plan of Action:				
Recommendation/Agreement:				

Indicator 4: Community and Parental Involvement

Indicators	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	MOVs
4.1. Parental Engagement and Awareness				
Parent-Teacher Meetings on PISA : Are there regular meetings to inform parents about the importance of PISA and their role in supporting learners?				Minutes on Parent- Teacher Meeting on PISA Attendance sheets
Parental Workshops : Are workshops conducted to train parents in providing academic support and a conducive learning environment at home?				Accomplishment Reports in the conduct of parental workshops Attendance sheets
Communication Channels : Are parents provided with clear, ongoing communication about PISA-related activities?				Copy of the announcements and messages disseminated to parents using various platforms



Department of Education

Sub-Total			
Concerns/Challenges:			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	
Intervention/Plan of Action:			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 	
Recommendation/Agreement:			
4.2. Community Support and Partnership	5		
Local Partnerships : Are partnerships with LGUs, local businesses, industries, or NGOs established to provide resources or mentoring for learners?			List of local partners with the learning resources / support provided and the mentoring sessions conducted
Community Volunteers : Are there community volunteers who can assist with tutoring, mentoring, or logistical support for learners?			List of community volunteers and support provided
Awareness Campaigns : Are awareness campaigns conducted to encourage community support for learner readiness and motivation?			List of awareness campaigns conducted Copy of sample advocacy materials
Sub-Total			
Concerns/Challenges:			
Intervention/Plan of Action:			
Recommendation/Agreement:			



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Indicator 5: Financial and Operational Support

Indicators	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	MOVs
5.1. Utilization of the Special Education I	Fund (S	EF)		
Funding Allocation : Is there a designated budget from the SEF allocated specifically for PISA readiness activities?				SEF/LSB Resolution and Utilization Report
Local School Board Involvement : Is the Local School Board actively prioritizing SEF utilization for PISA preparations, and are they supporting initiatives aligned with international assessment readiness?				SEF/LSB Resolution and Utilization Report
Transparency and Monitoring : Is there a mechanism in place to uphold transparency and accountability in monitoring the SEF's utilization for PISA- aligned activities?				Copy of duly submitted SEF approved proposal and Quarterly Report
Sub-Total				
Concerns/Challenges: Intervention/Plan of Action:				
Recommendation/Agreement:				
5.2. School Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE)				
PISA Readiness Planning : Does the School Governance Council actively participate in the formulation and implementation of the strategic plan for readiness activities on international assessment and PISA, and does it include budget considerations under MOOE?				School Improvement Plan (SIP) and Annual Implementation Plan (AIP), School MOOE Monthly Utilization and Liquidation Report



Department of Education

Community Volunteers: Are there				List of community
community volunteers who can assist with				volunteers and
tutoring, mentoring, or logistical support				assistance provided
for learners?				
Provision for Additional Resources: Are				SIP/ AIP showing
there funds available within MOOE to				utilization of School
procure supplementary materials such as				MOOE
projectors, whiteboards, or science lab				
equipment to support PISA readiness?				
Sect Matal				
Sub-Total				
Concerns/Challenges:				
				<u></u>
Intervention/Plan of Action:				
Recommendation/Agreement:				
Indicator 5.2 Countains Amongoma				
Indicator 5.3. Counterparting Arrangement	nts			
Indicator 5.3. Counterparting Arrangement Regional and School-Level Coordination:	nts			Regional /Division
	nts			Regional /Division / School Issuances
Regional and School-Level Coordination:	nts			0
Regional and School-Level Coordination : Is there a structured counterparting plan	nts			/ School Issuances
Regional and School-Level Coordination : Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are	nts			/ School Issuances stipulating
Regional and School-Level Coordination : Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?	nts			/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office? MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools	nts			/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting Issuances
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office? MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their	nts			/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting Issuances informing the field
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office? MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools	nts			/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting Issuances informing the field about funds and
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office? MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy?	nts			/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office? MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy? Coordination Between Regional and	nts			 / School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics Issuances
 Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office? MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy? Coordination Between Regional and Division Offices: Are communication and 	nts			 / School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics Issuances informing the field
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office? MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy? Coordination Between Regional and Division Offices: Are communication and coordination protocols established between	nts			 / School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics Issuances informing the field about alignment
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office? MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy? Coordination Between Regional and Division Offices: Are communication and coordination protocols established between regional and division offices to facilitate	nts			 / School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics Issuances informing the field about alignment and protocols to be
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office? MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy? Coordination Between Regional and Division Offices: Are communication and coordination protocols established between regional and division offices to facilitate efficient transfer, installation, and setup of	nts			 / School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics Issuances informing the field about alignment
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office? MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy? Coordination Between Regional and Division Offices: Are communication and coordination protocols established between regional and division offices to facilitate	nts			 / School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics Issuances informing the field about alignment and protocols to be



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Concerns/Challenges:

Intervention/Plan of Action:

Recommendation/Agreement:

Monitoring official :

Signature Over Printed Name

Email Address and CP Nos.

Conforme :

School Head/Principal Signature Over Printed Name Email Address and CP Nos.



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Enclosure 2. Roles and Functions of the Regional Office (RO) and Schools Division Offices (SDO) and other stakeholders

Source: Joint Memorandum OSEC-OSOPS-2024-A1904, PISA Readiness School Audit Checklist

ROLES AND FUNCTIONS OF THE REGIONAL OFFICE (RO) AND THE SCHOOLS DIVISION OFFICE(SDO)

Regional Office

The Regional Director shall oversee the execution of the PISA readiness school audit across all school divisions to confirm alignment with Central Office guidelines and timelines. The Regional Office, through the dedicated regional TWG and concerned operating units within the region, shall:

- 1. Ensure that SDOs align with Central Office guidelines and timelines for the PISA readiness activities, providing oversight on the audit's implementation.
- 2. Guide SDOs to guarantee their understanding of the audit procedures, data collection methods, and reporting standards. The Regional TWG shall facilitate regular communication with SDOs to address questions and provide clarification as needed.
- 3. Collect audit data and reports from all SDOs, consolidating them into a regional summary report that highlights key findings, gaps, and recommendations for targeted interventions.
- 4. Provide insights and recommendations based on regional data to the Central Office, enabling informed resource allocation and strategy adjustments for PISA readiness.
- 5. Facilitate regular convergence sessions among SDOs and/or identified schools to address challenges, align goals, and promote consistency in achieving PISA readiness targets.

Schools Division Office

The Schools Division Superintendent shall be responsible for implementing the PISA readiness school audit across identified schools within the division. The SDO, through the dedicated SDO TWG offices and Division operating units, shall:

- 1. Instruct schools on conducting the audit, ensuring they fully understand the guidelines and checklist items. The TWG shall provide technical support to guarantee consistent data collection practices across all schools.
- 2. Verify that all data submitted by schools is complete and accurate. The TWG shall conduct spot checks as necessary and provide additional support to schools requiring assistance.



Republic of the Philippines Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

- 3. Aggregate audit results and survey responses from schools, compiling a divisionlevel report that summarizes findings, identifies resource gaps, and outlines specific school needs.
- 4. Use audit data to pinpoint schools with significant resource or infrastructure deficiencies, prioritizing them for additional support to enhance their PISA readiness.
- 5. Submit comprehensive division-level reports and recommendations to the Regional Office, clearly identifying areas that require further intervention or resources.
- **6.** Provide continuous support to schools based on audit findings, offering targeted guidance and resources as they work towards PISA readiness.

SDO Counterparts/ Other Stakeholders

The eight (8) Non-PISA participating schools provide support to the fifteen (15) PISA participating schools as part of the whole-region approach for PISA readiness of PISA participating schools.

As such, they are expected to :

- 1. provide support to SDO participating schools depending on their context; and
- 2. assist in gathering data needed in the preparation of weekly reports and closely coordinate with the assigned PISA participating school .



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Enclosure 3. Guide in the preparation of RO and SDO Report on PISA Readiness School Audit

GUIDE IN THE PREPARATION OF RO AND SDO REPORT ON PISA READINESS SCHOOL AUDIT

REGIONAL OFFICE REPORT:

Regional Profile Section

- 1. **Total Number of Divisions**: Enter the total number of school divisions identified with PISA schools within the region.
- 2. **15-Year-Old Learner Population (Aggregate)**: Provide the combined count of identified 15-year-old learners across all divisions in the region.
- 3. **Regional Readiness Overview**: Summarize the general readiness of the region for PISA, including strengths, challenges, and the overall status based on the data collected from school audits.

Summary of Divisional Readiness

• Provide a concise summary of each division's readiness. This can include a brief overview of resource availability, infrastructure status, teacher training, and learner preparedness. Highlight divisions that are well-prepared and those that may need additional support.

Priority Divisions Section

- 1. **Criteria for Prioritization**: List the regional criteria used to determine which divisions should be prioritized for additional support. Criteria might include low access to technology, a high learner population, or significant resource gaps.
- 2. **List of Priority Divisions**: Identify divisions that require prioritized attention based on the above criteria.
- 3. **Summary of Division-Specific Needs**: Summarize specific needs for each prioritized division, such as technology upgrades, additional teacher training, or infrastructure improvements.



Republic of the Philippines Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Regional Action Plan

• Outline the action plan for addressing the identified needs and gaps. This should include key steps the region will take to enhance readiness, such as targeted training sessions, resource reallocation, or infrastructure improvements.

Timelines and Milestones

• Provide a timeline for implementing the action plan, listing key milestones for monitoring progress. Include specific dates for the expected completion of actions.

Next Steps

• Outline the immediate steps the region will take to continue advancing PISA readiness, such as follow-up audits, additional resource distribution, or further engagement with priority divisions.

Name and Signature / Date of the Report

• Enter the name, signature, and date of the report's preparation for accountability and tracking.

RO REPORT FORMAT

Regional Profile	;	
Total Number of Divisions:	15-Year-Old Learner Population (Aggregate):	Regional Readiness Overview:
Total Number of Schools Audited:		
Summary of Div	visional Readiness:	
Priority Division	ns	
Criteria for Prior	itization:	Summary of Division-Specific Needs:
List of Priority D	ivisions:	
-		
Regional Action	Plan	
Tepronut notion		



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Timelines and Milestones:

Next Steps:

Name and Signature/ Date of the Report:

SCHOOLS DIVISION REPORT

Schools Division Profile:

- 1. **School Division and Region**: Enter the name of the school division and the corresponding region.
- 2. **Student Population (15-Year-Old Learners)**: Total number of 15-year-old learners in the division identified to take PISA.
- 3. **Percentage of Schools with Computers**: Calculate and enter the percentage of schools within the division that have computer access.
- 4. **Total Number of Schools Audited**: Provide the total number of schools that were audited in this division.
- 5. **Percentage of Schools Connected**: Calculate and enter the percentage of schools with internet connectivity.
- 6. **Teacher-Student Ratio**: Provide the average teacher-student ratio within the division.

Executive Summary

• Briefly summarize the PISA readiness status across schools in the division, covering key points such as overall resource adequacy, infrastructure status, teacher training needs, and learner preparedness.

Key Areas Overview

- 1. **Resources and Infrastructure**: Describe the availability of essential resources, such as computers, internet, and other learning materials, across the schools in the division.
- 2. **Teacher Training & Capacity**: Summarize the status of teacher preparedness for PISA, noting any training sessions completed, as well as any areas needing further development.



Republic of the Philippines Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

- 3. **Learner Readiness**: Provide an overview of the learners' preparedness levels, focusing on their familiarity with PISA formats and their competency in core subjects.
- 4. **Parental & Community Involvement**: Comment on the level of support from parents and the community, such as their involvement in readiness activities or resource provision.
- 5. **Financial Resource Utilization**: Summarize how financial resources, including the Special Education Fund (SEF), are being utilized for PISA-related activities in the division.

Needs Assessment and Recommendations

- List specific schools with critical gaps needing urgent attention: Identify individual schools with significant needs and the specific gaps to be addressed.
- **Suggested allocation of resources**: Recommend resources that should be allocated, such as additional computers or improved connectivity.
- **Propose ways to improve parental and community support**: Offer strategies to enhance engagement from parents and community members to support PISA readiness.

Data Management and Reporting

• Describe the system or process used for managing, tracking, and reporting data related to the PISA readiness audit, ensuring that data is accurate and easily accessible for follow-up actions.

Name and Signature / Date of the Report

• Enter the name, signature, and date of report completion for accountability and official documentation.

School Division and Region:	Student Population (15-year-old learners):	Percentage of Schools with Computers:
Total Number of Schools Audited:	Percentage of schools Connected:	Teacher-Student Ratio:

SDO REPORT FORMAT



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Executive Summary (Briefly outline the overall PISA readiness status across schools in your division):

Key Areas Overview 1.Resources and Infrastructure: 2. Teacher Training & Capacity: 4. Parental & Community Involvement 1nfrastructure: 3. Learner Readiness: 5. Financial Resource Utilization Needs Assessment and Recommendations: • List specific schools with critical gaps needing urgent attention. • Suggested allocation of resources (e.g., additional computers, connectivity). • Propose ways to improve parental and community support.

Name and Signature/ Date of the Report:



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Enclosure 4. Composition of Executive Committee, Technical Working Groups/Monitoring and validating teams and timeline of validation visits of RO to PISA Participating Schools

COMPOSITION OF TEAMS AND SCHEDULE OF VALIDATION VISITS OF RO and SDOs TO PISA PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS

(January 6 (afternoon) -10, 2025)

Executive Committee

Over-all Chair : Atty. Alberto T. Escobarte- Regional Director

Co-Chair : Loida N. Nidea – Asst. Regional Director

Member : SDS Lourdes T. Bermudez

Technical Working Groups/Monitoring and Validation Team

Team A-1

Team Lead : Eduarda Zapanta/Wilbert C. Ulpindo Co-Lead : Virgilio O. Guevarra/ Elena E. Lopez Members :

SDS/ASDS and Division ITO of the participating SDO RO-EPS-Margaret T. Musa and Raymund A. Ferry RO-ICT/ITO - Ephraim L. Gibas

Team A-2

Team Lead : Ann Geralyn T. Pelias/ Ma. Susana Oliveros Co-Lead : Viernalyn M. Nama/ Gilbert O. Cruz Members :

> SDS/ASDS and Division ITO of the participating SDO RO-EPS- Hazel Angielyn E. Tesoro and Eugenio S. Adrao RO-ICT/ITO - Melvin D. Punzalan

TEAM B-1

Team Lead : Elino S. Garcia/ Randy B. Atienza Co-Lead : Michael R. Alba/ Andrea Mabel E. Abrencillo Members :

> RO-EPS- Loida G. Tomelden and Lowiesito O. Erni RO-ICT/ITO - Rey M. Valenzuela



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

<u>TEAM B-2</u>

Team Lead : Luz E. Osmena/ Buenalyn O. Manuel Co-Lead : Jisela Ulpina/ Nadina G. Gaton Members :

SDS/ASDS and Division ITO of the participating SDO RO-EPS- Emelia P. Crescini and Jeffrey F. Ditablan RO-ICT/ITO - Joseph C. Damian

SDO	NAME OF PISA PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS	ASSIGNED COUNTERPART (Non-PISA participating SDO=8)	Date of validation
Cavite Province (5)	School 1	Quezon Province	Jan 7 Team A1
	School 2	Calamba City	Jan 7 Team A2
	School 3	Quezon Province	Jan 7 Team B1
	School 4	Calamba City	Jan 7 Team A1
	School 5	Quezon Province	Jan 7 Team A2
Bacoor City (2)	School 6	Antipolo City	Jan 7 Team B2
	School 7	Antipolo City	Jan 7 Team B2
SDO	NAME OF PISA PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS	ASSIGNED COUNTERPART	Date of validation
Rizal (2)	School 8	Antipolo City	Jan 6 All Team (Team A1 & A2)
	School 9	Antipolo City	Jan 6 All Team (Team B1 & B2)
Dasmarinas City (2)	School 10	Cavite City	Jan 7 Team B1
	School 11	Cavite City	Jan 7 Team B1
Imus City (1)	S2chool 12	Sto. Tomas City	Jan 8 Team A1

Schedule of Monitoring and Validation



Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Gen. Trias City (1)	School 13	Cavite City	Jan 8 Team A1
SDO	NAME OF PISA PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS	ASSIGNED COUNTERPART	Date of validation
Batangas Province(4)	School 14	Lucena City	Jan 8 Team B1
	School 15	Lucena City	Jan 8 Team B1
	School 16	Lucena City	Jan 8 Team B2
	School 17	Lucena City	Jan 8 Team B2
Batangas City(2)	School 18	San Pablo City	Jan 8 Team A2
	School 19	San Pablo City	Jan 8 Team A2
Lipa City (1)	School 20	Sto. Tomas City	Jan 9 Team B1
SDO	NAME OF PISA PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS	ASSIGNED COUNTERPART	Date of validation
Cabuyao City (1)	School 21	Calamba City	Jan 9 Team A1
Tanauan City (2)	School 22	Sto. Tomas City	Jan 9 Team B1
	School 23	Sto. Tomas City	Jan 9 Team B1
Laguna Province(2)	School 24	Tayabas City	Jan 9 Team A2
	School 25	Tayabas City	Jan 9 Team A2
San Pedro City (1)	School 26	San Pablo City	Jan 9 Team A1
Sta. Rosa City	~		Jan 9
(1)	School 27	San Pablo City	Team B2

Note: The Regional Executive Committee with the ExeCom may join any Team during the validation.

REGION IV-A PISA 2025 TIMELINE OF ACTIVITIES

DATES	ACTIVITIES
January	
2	Regional Office Interface Meeting on PISA Preparation
3	Finalization of Regional Issuance, Monitoring Tool, Timeline of Activities, and Ways Forward
3	Familiarization on Khan Academy and Frontlearners platforms for CLMD Chiefs, English, Science, and Mathematics Supervisors, and Regional IT Officers
6	Alignment and Meet and Greet (CO, RO and SDO)
7	Final Learning Session and SEL Plan (c/o CO)
6 - 10	Monitoring and Validation of PISA Participating Schools
8	Training of ESM Teachers
	Partnership Convergence to Support PISA Preparations (by SDO) Menu of needs for possible assistance to SDOs: • Water jugs
13 & 14	 Tumblers Emergency lights Generator Solar panel
13	Integration Meeting with the Regional Office validating and monitoring team
15	Online Follow-up to PISA Participating Schools (Action Taken) via MS Teams (By Team)
Week 1: Jan 16 – 17	 Orientation on PISA and DepEd LMS, Khan Academy, and Frontlearners Orientation on PISA for Parents Conduct of Socio-Emotional Learning (SEL) Note: SDO and school initiatives
17	 Submission of Weekly Readiness Report Submission of Region 4A PISA Jingle (Open to 23 SDOs)
Week 2: Jan 20 – 24	Learning Sessions
22	 Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting - Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools Launching of Region 4A PISA Jingle
24	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
Week 3: Jan 27 – 31	Learning SessionsCheckpoint
29	Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting - Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools
31	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
February	
Week 4: Feb 3 – 7	Learning Sessions

_	Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting -
5	Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools
7	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
	Conduct of Socio-Emotional Learning (SEL)
Week 5: Feb 10 – 14	Learning Sessions
	Checkpoint
12	Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting - Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools
14	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report1
Week 6: Feb 17 -21	Learning Sessions
19	Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting - Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools
21	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
Week 7: Feb 24 - 28	Learning Sessions
26	• Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting -
20	Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools
	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
March	
	Conduct of Socio-Emotional Learning (SEL)
Week 8: Mar 3 - 7	Learning Sessions
	Checkpoint
5	• Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting -
5	Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools
7	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
	PISA Readiness Launching
10	"CALABARZON: Ready for 2025 PISA"
10	#RO4APISAReady

Summary:

8 Weeks of Learning Sessions

(24 sessions total, from January 16, 2025 – March 10, 2025)

3 sessions per week

1 session is equivalent to 1 hour of teaching

- 1 session per learning area
- **3 sessions** on Socio-Emotional Learning (SEL) (targeted week)

3 sessions – checkpoints (targeted weeks)