



# Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

03 January 2025

Regional Memorandum No. 9 s. 2025

## MONITORING AND VALIDATION OF THE AUDIT REPORT OF THE 2025 PROGRAMME FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDENT ASSESSMENT (PISA) SCHOOL READINESS AND WAYS FORWARD

- To Schools Division Superintendents
  Regional Chiefs
  All Others Concerned
- 1. Pursuant to OSEC-OASOPS-2024-A1904 titled "Implementation of School Readiness Audit" and OO-OSEC-2024-303 on the Operationalization of the Programme for the International Student Assessment Readiness Technical Working Group, this Office issues the validation of the Audit Report on School Readiness of the PISA participating Schools and ways forward.
- 2. This activity aims to:
  - a. validate the data entries submitted by schools to ensure accuracy and completeness across key areas of assessment;
  - b. consolidate and analyze school level audit findings to identify key results areas for improvement;
  - c. Identify priority areas for support and urgent areas for intervention; and
  - d. provide Technical Assistance (TA) to PISA participating schools; and
  - e. strictly follow the schedule of activities on PISA readiness
- 3. The validating team is composed of the Regional Director, Assistant Regional Director, Regional chiefs, Regional Education Program Supervisors, Regional ICT, Regional Testing Coordinator, Division Information Technology Officer of PISA participating SDOs and other identified monitors. Please refer to the Enclosures, namely:
  - a. Enclosure 1. Monitoring Tool for PISA School Readiness
  - b. **Enclosure 2.** Roles and Functions of the Regional Office (RO) and Schools Division Offices (SDO) and other stakeholders
  - c. Enclosure 3. Guide in the Preparation of RO and SDO Report on PISA Readiness School Audit
  - d. Enclosure 4. Composition of Executive committee, Technical Working Groups/ monitoring and validating teams and schedule of validation visits of RO and SDOs to PISA Participating Schools
  - e. Enclosure 5. Ways Forward







Address: Gate 2, Karangalan Village, Cainta, Rizal

Telephone No.: 02-8682-2114

Email Address: region4a@deped.gov.ph

Website: depedcalabarzon.ph



- 4. Expenses relative to PISA activities shall be charged against local funds subject to the usual accounting and auditing rules and regulations.
- 5. Counterparting arrangement is highly encouraged to ensure the readiness of the participating schools based on existing policies and guidelines on partnerships. The SDO counterparts and other stakeholders are expected to provide support but not limited to the use of computers, laboratories, generator sets, internet connectivity and its peripherals and provision of capability building activities for teachers, orientation to parents, transportation, meals and potable water.
- 6. For queries and other details, please contact the Quality Assurance Division at (02) 86822114 local 450 or email at <a href="mailto:qad.calabarzon@deped.gov.ph">qad.calabarzon@deped.gov.ph</a> and Curriculum and Learning Management Division at email address <a href="mailto:clmd.calabarzon@deped.gov.ph">clmd.calabarzon@deped.gov.ph</a>.
- 7. Strict compliance of all concerned is enjoined.

ATTY. ALBERTO T. ESCOBARTE, CESO II

Regional Director

05/ROQ1



## Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

## Enclosure 1. Monitoring Tool for PISA School Readiness

## 2025 Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) Monitoring Tool for PISA School Readiness

Source: Joint Memorandum OSEC-OSOPS-2024-A1904, PISA Readiness School Audit Checklist

#### Part 1. School Profile

School:	School	ID:		
Name of School Head :	Contac	t Number : _		
School Address:				
Schools Division Office	Da	te of Monitor	ing:	
Student Population (15-year old Lear	ners): Male	Female	Total	
Total Number of 15 year Old Learner	s with Disabil	lities: Male	_Female	_Total
Teacher-Student Ratio by Learning A	rea: English_	Science _	Math _	
Total number of identified learners w	ho are PISA T	akers: Male_	Female_	Total
Number of Learners with computers	provided in Se	chool:		
	Male	Female	Total	1
Percentage of Learners with compute	rs: Male	Female	Total	
Part II. School Readiness				

Instruction: Please check each criterion per indicator below which corresponds to the key areas of assessment on school readiness:

- if the criterion is fully achieved and meets the required standards.

Partially Met

- if the criterion is partially achieved, with some gaps or limitations needing minor

Not Met

- if the criterion is not achieved and requires significant intervention and support

Please use additional sheets if needed. Thank you.



# Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

## Indicator 1: Resources and Infrastructure

Indicators	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	MOVs
1.1. Internet, ICT Equipment and Electric	ty			
1.1.1 Computer-to-Student Ratio: Does the school have a sufficient number of computers for all participating learners?				Certificate from the Division Information Technology Officer (DITO) re: computer ratio with at least five (5) buffer computers  Inventory of equipment signed by the property custodian.
1.1.2 Computer Specifications: Are the computers up-to-date and able to handle assessment software and internet requirements?(Including computer networkmust be wired)				DITO Certificate of compliance re: Specification requirements.
Device Requirement:				
Screen Size at least 24.6cm(9.5")				Test Result from
Resolution at least 1366 x 768 pixel				https://schoolreadiness-qa.pisa.aces.org/
System Requirement				Network Plan signed
Windows, MacOS, Linux and ChromeOS				provider or ICT coordinator verified and reviewed by
Internet Connectivity				DITO.
at leat 4mb per second(40mbps(upload				
at leat 1.2 mb per second( 12 mbps) upload				Results of test parameters set in the guidelines signed by the ICT coordinator, noted by the School Head and verified by the DITO.



# Department of Education

1.1.3 Maintenance and IT Support: Are personnel available to maintain the computers and resolve technical issues?	SDO Order or School Order-designation for IT support Staff Division or School Memo for the ICT Equipment Maintenance Schedule Report on the Conduct of ICT Maintenance
1.1.4 Internet Connectivity: Is there a reliable and stable internet connection in testing rooms? Is the connection speed adequate to prevent interruptions?	Contract  website test for connectivity ( https://schoolreadin ess-qa.pisa.aces.org/ )
1.1.5 Power Supply: Is there a consistent and uninterrupted power supply? Are there backup power sources (generators or UPS) in case of outages?	Inventory of electric power related equipment (Gen set, UPS, etc.)  Certification from the electric company stating that there shall be no power interruption on the day of the examination.  Contract/MOA (rent, donation)  Standby Diesel Power Generator (25KVA, 230V, 60 HZ)
Sub-Total	
Concerns/Challenges:	



# Department of Education

Intervention/Plan of Action:	
Recommendation/Agreement:	
1.2. Testing Environment and Disaster Resilience	
	Actual inspection
1.2.1 Dedicated Testing Spaces: Are there designated PISA Centers, or quiet areas that can be used for testing?	report showing lay out of surroundings and designated testing space are free from disruptions and meets the criteria of conducive testing centers.
1.2.2 Ergonomic Arrangements: Are desks and seating comfortable and suitable for extended testing periods?	Inspection Report indicating that the desks are compliant with standards. The chair's height and adjustability can handle a long period of time.  Feedback from test
	Photographic plan for the testing arrangement duly signed by the SDO counterparts.  (To be validated by
1.2.3 Room Conditions: Are rooms well-lit, ventilated, and temperature-controlled for optimal concentration?	Compliant to DO 64, s. 2017  (Sufficient and well-lit rooms reduce glare and eye fatigue.)  Actual observation re: Comfortable



# Department of Education

	room temperature and airflow  Identified measures
	for noise control for better focus.
	Actual observation re: Illumination of at least 500 Lux meter.
1.2.4 DRRM Planning and Emergency Preparedness: Is there a disaster preparedness plan in place, and does the	Contingency Plan for PISA addressing the following:
school have the capacity to conduct PISA-related activities in the event of natural disasters or other emergencies?	A documented and approved disaster preparedness plan that outlines protocols for emergencies, including those that may disrupt PISArelated activities.
	Written procedures detailing step-by-step actions for staff and students in case of emergencies, specifically for maintaining assessment integrity during disasters.
	A contingency plan detailing how PISA-related activities can transition to remote or online formats if an emergency occurs, including IT infrastructure readiness and student access.
	A document outlining the allocation of resources (e.g., personnel, financial resources) for maintaining operations in the event of an



# Department of Education

1.2.5 Risk management: Have risk assessments been conducted to minimize potential disruptions?	Risk Management Plan to focus on the following but not limited to:
	-Conduct technical readiness checks for participants.
	-Provide clear specifications for required hardware and software.
	-Have a technical support team on standby during the test.
	-Provide practice tests to familiarize students with the format.
	-Offer counseling or support services before and during the test to lessen anxiety.
	-Communicate that the assessment is an opportunity, not a judgment to minimize pressures.
Sub-Total	
Concerns/Challenges:	
Intervention/Plan of Action:	



# Department of Education

Recommendation/Agreement:	
1.3. Access to Potable Water and Sanitation Facilities	
1.3.1 Availability of Drinking Water: Are water fountains or dispensers accessible in testing areas?	Site inspection Report confirming the presence and condition of potable water duly signed.  Diagrams or maps highlighting the placement of water fountains or dispensers are in the testing areas.  Responses from learners or staff evaluating the accessibility and functionality of the water stations durin the test through feedback forms.  Photos showing the location and accessibility of water fountains or dispensers, demonstrating they are within easy reacc of test-takers
1.3.2 Sanitation Facilities: Are adequate and well-maintained restrooms close to testing areas, especially for long assessment days?	Access to Wash facilities for men, women, and all genders.  Documentation from site inspections confirming the location, adequacy, and condition of restrooms near testing areas are well



# Department of Education

		maintained and duly signed by the concerned personnel.
		Photos showing the restrooms' proximity to testing areas, cleanliness, and overall maintenance
		Diagrams or maps highlighting the location of restrooms about the testing areas, ensuring they are easily accessible.
		Diagrams or maps highlighting the location of restrooms about the testing areas, ensuring they are easily accessible.
		Responses from learners or staff regarding the accessibility, cleanliness, and availability of restrooms during the testing period or mock tests.
Sub-Total		
Concerns/Challenges:  Intervention/Plan of Action:		
Recommendation/Agreement:		



# Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

## **Indicator 2: Teacher and Staff Capacity**

Indicators	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	MOVs
2.1. Teacher Readiness and Training				
<b>2.1.1 PISA-Focused Training</b> : Have teachers received training specifically for PISA-related competencies in Reading, Math, and Science?				Certificate of Participation  LAC Plan/Memorandum
2.1.2 Higher-order Thinking Skills (HOTS): Are teachers trained in developing learners' critical thinking, problem-solving, and analytical skills?				Certificate of Participation in HOTS Training. WAP/DLL or DLP/Test Bank/Questions (SOLO Model)
<b>2.1.3 Content Mastery</b> : Do teachers have the necessary subject matter expertise in areas tested by PISA?				COT Rating DLL/DLP TOR/Diploma/Certification
Sub-Total				
Intervention/Plan of Action:				
Recommendation/Agreement:				
2.2. Professional Development Opportu	nities			
<b>2.2.1 Regular Training Programs</b> : Are there regular training sessions for teachers to keep updated with the latest teaching strategies?				LAC Plan  LAC Attendance  Certificate of Participation in Related training
<b>2.2.2 Mentorship Programs</b> : Is there access to mentoring from experienced teachers or subject experts?				Performance Monitoring and Coaching Form (PMCF)



# Department of Education

			Result of Coaching/Mentoring Plan
2.2.3 Participation in Mock PISA Sessions: Are teachers given opportunities to participate in or observe mock PISA assessments to familiarize themselves with the process?			Attendance Sheets  Certificate of Attendance/Participa tion
Sub-Total			
Concerns/Challenges:		l l	
Intervention/Plan of Action:			
Recommendation/Agreement:			
Indicator 2.3. Teacher-to-Student Ratio	and Sup	port Staff	
<b>2.3.1 Adequate Staffing</b> : Is there a sufficient number of teachers per learner, especially in key areas (Math, Science, English)?			Teacher Schedule and no. of Learners per ESM Class
<b>2.3.2 Support Staff for Logistics</b> : Are there administrative and support staff to assist in logistical preparations for PISA sessions?			School Readiness Plan with admin and support staff assistance for PISA learning sessions
Sub-Total			
Concerns/Challenges:			
Intervention/Plan of Action:			
Recommendation/Agreement:			



# Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

## Indicator 3: Learner Readiness

Indicators	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	MOVs
3.1. Engagement in PISA-Aligned Learnin	ng Activ	rities		
Exposure to PISA-Like Questions: Do learners regularly practice PISA-format questions in Reading Comprehension, Mathematics, and Science?				Sample PISA questions used by learners during practice activities or learning sessions.  Schedule of learning sessions.  Teacher-made Test Item Bank  Compilation of Summative and Formative Assessments using online platform  Compilation of Reading Materials used in teaching
Problem-Solving and Real-World Applications: Are learners encouraged to apply their learning to real-world situations?				Sample problem solving questions  Teacher-made Test Item Bank  Compilation of Learning Activity Sheets using online platform
Collaborative Learning Opportunities: Are learners engaged in group activities that enhance critical thinking and collaboration skills?				Schedule of learning sessions  Sample of online group activities  Learner's Portfolio
Sub-Total				
Concerns/Challenges:				
Intervention/Plan of Action:				



# Department of Education

Recommendation/Agreement:	
3.2. Academic Support for Economically Disadv	vantaged Learners
Provision of Learning Materials: Are textbooks, computers, tablets, or additional resources provided to underprivileged learners?	List of LMs used  Report/Inventory of LMs delivered and distributed to learners  SF 3 – Books Issued and Returned
Nutrition and Meal Programs: Are meals or snacks provided for learners who may have nutritional needs, particularly on testing days?	Meal Attendance of learners during learning sessions
Transportation and Logistical Support: Are there arrangements to help disadvantaged learners travel to school for PISA preparation sessions?	Inventory of learners who availed transportation and logistical support.
Sub-Total	
Concerns/Challenges:	
Intervention/Plan of Action:	
Recommendation/Agreement:	
Indicator 3.3. Mock Assessments and Practice	rests
Regular Mock PISA Assessments: Are mock tests administered to prepare learners for the testing environment?	Schedule of PISA Mock Tests. Raw and processed assessment results
Feedback Mechanisms: Are learners given feedback on their mock assessments to identify areas for improvement?	Report on feedback from the learners on

## Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

		the identified areas for improvement. Processed/Analyzed feedback report
Sub-Total		
Concerns/Challenges:  Intervention/Plan of Action:		
Recommendation/Agreement:		

## **Indicator 4: Community and Parental Involvement**

Indicators	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	MOVs
4.1. Parental Engagement and Awareness				
Parent-Teacher Meetings on PISA: Are there regular meetings to inform parents about the importance of PISA and their role in supporting learners?				Minutes on Parent- Teacher Meeting on PISA Attendance sheets
<b>Parental Workshops</b> : Are workshops conducted to train parents in providing academic support and a conducive learning environment at home?				Accomplishment Reports in the conduct of parental workshops Attendance sheets
Communication Channels: Are parents provided with clear, ongoing communication about PISA-related activities?				Copy of the announcements and messages disseminated to parents using various platforms



# Department of Education

Sub-Total			
Concerns/Challenges:			
Intervention/Plan of Action:			
Recommendation/Agreement:			
4.2. Community Support and Partnerships	5		
Local Partnerships: Are partnerships with LGUs, local businesses, industries, or NGOs established to provide resources or mentoring for learners?			List of local partners with the learning resources / support provided and the mentoring sessions conducted
<b>Community Volunteers</b> : Are there community volunteers who can assist with tutoring, mentoring, or logistical support for learners?			List of community volunteers and support provided
Awareness Campaigns: Are awareness campaigns conducted to encourage community support for learner readiness and motivation?			List of awareness campaigns conducted Copy of sample advocacy materials
Sub-Total			
Concerns/Challenges:			
Intervention/Plan of Action:			
Recommendation/Agreement:			



# Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

## Indicator 5: Financial and Operational Support

Indicators	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	MOVs
5.1. Utilization of the Special Education	Fund (S	EF)		
<b>Funding Allocation</b> : Is there a designated budget from the SEF allocated specifically for PISA readiness activities?				SEF/LSB Resolution and Utilization Report
<b>Local School Board Involvement</b> : Is the Local School Board actively prioritizing SEF utilization for PISA preparations, and are they supporting initiatives aligned with international assessment readiness?				SEF/LSB Resolution and Utilization Report
<b>Transparency and Monitoring</b> : Is there a mechanism in place to uphold transparency and accountability in monitoring the SEF's utilization for PISA-aligned activities?				Copy of duly submitted SEF approved proposal and Quarterly Report
Sub-Total				
Concerns/Challenges:				
Intervention/Plan of Action:				
Recommendation/Agreement:				
5.2. School Maintenance and Other Opera	iting Ex	rpenses (MC	OOE)	
PISA Readiness Planning: Does the School Governance Council actively participate in the formulation and implementation of the strategic plan for readiness activities on international assessment and PISA, and does it include budget considerations under MOOE?				School Improvement Plan (SIP) and Annual Implementation Plan (AIP), School MOOE Monthly Utilization and Liquidation Report



# Republic of the Philippines **Department of Education**

Community Volunteers: Are there		List of community
community volunteers who can assist with		volunteers and
tutoring, mentoring, or logistical support		assistance provided
for learners?		distribution provided
7		
Provision for Additional Resources: Are		SIP/ AIP showing
there funds available within MOOE to		utilization of School
procure supplementary materials such as		MOOE
projectors, whiteboards, or science lab		
equipment to support PISA readiness?		
Sub-Total		
Concerns/Challenges:		
Intervention/Plan of Action:		
Pagamman dation / Agraam anti		
Recommendation/Agreement:		
Indicator 5.3. Counterparting Arrangement	nts	
	nts	Regional /Division
Regional and School-Level Coordination:	nts	Regional /Division
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan	nts	/ School Issuances
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting  Issuances informing the field
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting  Issuances informing the field about funds and
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting  Issuances informing the field
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting  Issuances informing the field about funds and
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy?	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting  Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy?  Coordination Between Regional and	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting  Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics  Issuances
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy?  Coordination Between Regional and Division Offices: Are communication and	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting  Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics  Issuances informing the field
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy?  Coordination Between Regional and Division Offices: Are communication and coordination protocols established between	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting  Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics  Issuances informing the field about alignment
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy?  Coordination Between Regional and Division Offices: Are communication and coordination protocols established between regional and division offices to facilitate	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting  Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics  Issuances informing the field about alignment and protocols to be
Regional and School-Level Coordination: Is there a structured counterparting plan in place, where beneficiary schools are responsible for transporting resources (e.g., computers) from the regional office?  MOOE Funding for Logistics: Are schools informed and prepared to utilize their MOOE to cover transportation expenses as part of the counterparting strategy?  Coordination Between Regional and Division Offices: Are communication and coordination protocols established between regional and division offices to facilitate efficient transfer, installation, and setup of	nts	/ School Issuances stipulating partnership and counterparting  Issuances informing the field about funds and logistics  Issuances informing the field about alignment and protocols to be



# Department of Education

Concerns/Challenges:	
Intervention/Plan of Action:	
Recommendation/Agreement:	
Monitoring official:	
Signature Over Printed Name	Email Address and CP Nos.
Conforme :	
School Head/Principal Signature Over Printed Name	Email Address and CP Nos.



## Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

**Enclosure 2.** Roles and Functions of the Regional Office (RO) and Schools Division Offices (SDO) and other stakeholders

Source: Joint Memorandum OSEC-OSOPS-2024-A1904, PISA Readiness School Audit Checklist

# ROLES AND FUNCTIONS OF THE REGIONAL OFFICE (RO) AND THE SCHOOLS DIVISION OFFICE(SDO)

#### **Regional Office**

The Regional Director shall oversee the execution of the PISA readiness school audit across all school divisions to confirm alignment with Central Office guidelines and timelines. The Regional Office, through the dedicated regional TWG and concerned operating units within the region, shall:

- 1. Ensure that SDOs align with Central Office guidelines and timelines for the PISA readiness activities, providing oversight on the audit's implementation.
- Guide SDOs to guarantee their understanding of the audit procedures, data collection methods, and reporting standards. The Regional TWG shall facilitate regular communication with SDOs to address questions and provide clarification as needed.
- Collect audit data and reports from all SDOs, consolidating them into a regional summary report that highlights key findings, gaps, and recommendations for targeted interventions.
- 4. Provide insights and recommendations based on regional data to the Central Office, enabling informed resource allocation and strategy adjustments for PISA readiness.
- Facilitate regular convergence sessions among SDOs and/or identified schools to address challenges, align goals, and promote consistency in achieving PISA readiness targets.

#### Schools Division Office

The Schools Division Superintendent shall be responsible for implementing the PISA readiness school audit across identified schools within the division. The SDO, through the dedicated SDO TWG offices and Division operating units, shall:

- 1. Instruct schools on conducting the audit, ensuring they fully understand the guidelines and checklist items. The TWG shall provide technical support to guarantee consistent data collection practices across all schools.
- 2. Verify that all data submitted by schools is complete and accurate. The TWG shall conduct spot checks as necessary and provide additional support to schools requiring assistance.



## Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

- 3. Aggregate audit results and survey responses from schools, compiling a division-level report that summarizes findings, identifies resource gaps, and outlines specific school needs.
- Use audit data to pinpoint schools with significant resource or infrastructure deficiencies, prioritizing them for additional support to enhance their PISA readiness.
- 5. Submit comprehensive division-level reports and recommendations to the Regional Office, clearly identifying areas that require further intervention or resources.
- 6. Provide continuous support to schools based on audit findings, offering targeted guidance and resources as they work towards PISA readiness.

#### SDO Counterparts/ Other Stakeholders

The eight (8) Non-PISA participating schools provide support to the fifteen (15) PISA participating schools as part of the whole-region approach for PISA readiness of PISA participating schools.

As such, they are expected to:

- 1. provide support to SDO participating schools depending on their context; and
- 2. assist in gathering data needed in the preparation of weekly reports and closely coordinate with the assigned PISA participating school.



## Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

**Enclosure 3.** Guide in the preparation of RO and SDO Report on PISA Readiness School Audit

# GUIDE IN THE PREPARATION OF RO AND SDO REPORT ON PISA READINESS SCHOOL AUDIT

#### REGIONAL OFFICE REPORT:

#### Regional Profile Section

- 1. **Total Number of Divisions**: Enter the total number of school divisions identified with PISA schools within the region.
- 2. **15-Year-Old Learner Population (Aggregate)**: Provide the combined count of identified 15-year-old learners across all divisions in the region.
- 3. **Regional Readiness Overview**: Summarize the general readiness of the region for PISA, including strengths, challenges, and the overall status based on the data collected from school audits.

#### **Summary of Divisional Readiness**

• Provide a concise summary of each division's readiness. This can include a brief overview of resource availability, infrastructure status, teacher training, and learner preparedness. Highlight divisions that are well-prepared and those that may need additional support.

#### **Priority Divisions Section**

- 1. **Criteria for Prioritization**: List the regional criteria used to determine which divisions should be prioritized for additional support. Criteria might include low access to technology, a high learner population, or significant resource gaps.
- 2. **List of Priority Divisions**: Identify divisions that require prioritized attention based on the above criteria.
- Summary of Division-Specific Needs: Summarize specific needs for each prioritized division, such as technology upgrades, additional teacher training, or infrastructure improvements.



# Republic of the Philippines Department of Education

### REGION IV-A CALABARZON

#### Regional Action Plan

• Outline the action plan for addressing the identified needs and gaps. This should include key steps the region will take to enhance readiness, such as targeted training sessions, resource reallocation, or infrastructure improvements.

#### **Timelines and Milestones**

 Provide a timeline for implementing the action plan, listing key milestones for monitoring progress. Include specific dates for the expected completion of actions.

#### **Next Steps**

 Outline the immediate steps the region will take to continue advancing PISA readiness, such as follow-up audits, additional resource distribution, or further engagement with priority divisions.

## Name and Signature / Date of the Report

 Enter the name, signature, and date of the report's preparation for accountability and tracking.

#### RO REPORT FORMAT

Regional Profile		
Total Number of Divisions:	15-Year-Old Learner Population (Aggregate):	Regional Readiness Overview:
Total Number of Schools Audited:		
Summary of Div	isional Readiness:	
Priority Division	s	
Criteria for Priori	tization:	Summary of Division-Specific Needs:
List of Priority Div	visions:	
Regional Action	Plan:	



# Republic of the Philippines Department of Education

#### REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Timelines and Milestones:	
Next Steps:	
Name and Signature/ Date of the Report:	

#### SCHOOLS DIVISION REPORT

#### Schools Division Profile:

- School Division and Region: Enter the name of the school division and the corresponding region.
- 2. **Student Population (15-Year-Old Learners)**: Total number of 15-year-old learners in the division identified to take PISA.
- 3. **Percentage of Schools with Computers**: Calculate and enter the percentage of schools within the division that have computer access.
- 4. **Total Number of Schools Audited**: Provide the total number of schools that were audited in this division.
- 5. **Percentage of Schools Connected**: Calculate and enter the percentage of schools with internet connectivity.
- 6. **Teacher-Student Ratio**: Provide the average teacher-student ratio within the division.

#### **Executive Summary**

 Briefly summarize the PISA readiness status across schools in the division, covering key points such as overall resource adequacy, infrastructure status, teacher training needs, and learner preparedness.

#### **Key Areas Overview**

- Resources and Infrastructure: Describe the availability of essential resources, such as computers, internet, and other learning materials, across the schools in the division.
- 2. **Teacher Training & Capacity**: Summarize the status of teacher preparedness for PISA, noting any training sessions completed, as well as any areas needing further development.



## Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

- 3. **Learner Readiness**: Provide an overview of the learners' preparedness levels, focusing on their familiarity with PISA formats and their competency in core subjects.
- 4. **Parental & Community Involvement**: Comment on the level of support from parents and the community, such as their involvement in readiness activities or resource provision.
- 5. **Financial Resource Utilization**: Summarize how financial resources, including the Special Education Fund (SEF), are being utilized for PISA-related activities in the division.

#### **Needs Assessment and Recommendations**

- List specific schools with critical gaps needing urgent attention: Identify individual schools with significant needs and the specific gaps to be addressed.
- **Suggested allocation of resources**: Recommend resources that should be allocated, such as additional computers or improved connectivity.
- Propose ways to improve parental and community support: Offer strategies to enhance engagement from parents and community members to support PISA readiness.

#### Data Management and Reporting

 Describe the system or process used for managing, tracking, and reporting data related to the PISA readiness audit, ensuring that data is accurate and easily accessible for follow-up actions.

#### Name and Signature / Date of the Report

• Enter the name, signature, and date of report completion for accountability and official documentation.

#### SDO REPORT FORMAT

School Division and Region:	Student Population (15-year-old learners):	Percentage of Schools with Computers:
Total Number of Schools Audited:	Percentage of schools Connected:	Teacher-Student Ratio:



# Department of Education

<b>Executive Sum</b>	mary (Briefly outline the	overall PISA readiness status across schools
in your division)	:	
Key Areas Over	view	
1.Resources	2. Teacher Training &	4. Parental & Community Involvement
and	Capacity:	
Infrastructure:		
	3. Learner Readiness:	5. Financial Resource Utilization
	5. Learner Readiness:	5. Financial Resource Offization
Needs Assessm	ent and Recommendati	ons:
• List specific	c schools with critical gaps	s needing urgent attention.
<ul> <li>Suggested</li> </ul>	allocation of resources (e.	g., additional computers, connectivity).
• Propose wo	ays to improve parental ar	nd community support.
	-9 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	J. F. F. C.
Data managem	ent and reporting:	
Nome and Circ	atura / Data of the Dana	wade a
name and sign	ature/ Date of the Repo	irt.



## Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

**Enclosure 4.** Composition of Executive Committee, Technical Working Groups/Monitoring and validating teams and timeline of validation visits of RO to PISA Participating Schools

#### COMPOSITION OF TEAMS AND SCHEDULE OF VALIDATION VISITS OF RO and SDOs TO PISA PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS

( January 6 (afternoon) -10, 2025 )

#### **Executive Committee**

Over-all Chair: Atty. Alberto T. Escobarte- Regional Director

Co-Chair : Loida N. Nidea - Asst. Regional Director

Member : SDS Lourdes T. Bermudez

#### Technical Working Groups/Monitoring and Validation Team

#### Team A-1

Team Lead : Eduarda Zapanta/Wilbert C. Ulpindo Co-Lead : Virgilio O. Guevarra/ Elena E. Lopez

Members:

SDS/ASDS and Division ITO of the participating SDO RO-EPS-Margaret T. Musa and Raymund A. Ferry

RO-ICT/ITO - Ephraim L. Gibas

#### Team A-2

Team Lead: Ann Geralyn T. Pelias/Ma. Susana Oliveros

Co-Lead : Viernalyn M. Nama/ Gilbert O. Cruz

Members:

SDS/ASDS and Division ITO of the participating SDO RO-EPS- Hazel Angielyn E. Tesoro and Eugenio S. Adrao

RO-ICT/ITO - Melvin D. Punzalan

#### TEAM B-1

Team Lead: Elino S. Garcia/Randy B. Atienza

Co-Lead: Michael R. Alba/ Andrea Mabel E. Abrencillo

Members:

RO-EPS- Loida G. Tomelden and Lowiesito O. Erni RO-ICT/ITO - Rey M. Valenzuela



## Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

#### TEAM B-2

Team Lead: Luz E. Osmena/ Buenalyn O. Manuel Co-Lead: Jisela Ulpina/ Nadina G. Gaton

Members:

SDS/ASDS and Division ITO of the participating SDO RO-EPS- Emelia P. Crescini and Jeffrey F. Ditablan

RO-ICT/ITO - Joseph C. Damian

### Schedule of Monitoring and Validation

SDO	NAME OF PISA PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS	ASSIGNED COUNTERPART ( Non-PISA participating SDO=8)	Date of validation
Cavite Province (5)	School 1	Quezon Province	Jan 7 Team A1
	School 2	Calamba City	Jan 7 Team A2
	School 3	Quezon Province	Jan 7 Team B1
	School 4	Calamba City	Jan 7 Team A1
	School 5	Quezon Province	Jan 7 Team A2
Bacoor City (2)	School 6	Antipolo City	Jan 7 Team B2
	School 7	Antipolo City	Jan 7 Team B2
SDO	NAME OF PISA PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS	ASSIGNED COUNTERPART	Date of validation
Rizal (2)	School 8	Antipolo City	Jan 6 All Team (Team A1 & A2)
	School 9	Antipolo City	Jan 6 All Team (Team B1 & B2)
Dasmarinas City (2)	School 10	Cavite City	Jan 7 Team B1
	School 11	Cavite City	Jan 7 Team B1
Imus City (1)	S2chool 12	Sto. Tomas City	Jan 8 Team A1



## Department of Education

REGION IV-A CALABARZON

Gen. Trias City (1)	School 13	Cavite City	Jan 8 Team A1
SDO	NAME OF PISA PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS	ASSIGNED COUNTERPART	Date of validation
Batangas Province(4)	School 14	Lucena City	Jan 8 Team B1
	School 15	Lucena City	Jan 8 Team B1
	School 16	Lucena City	Jan 8 Team B2
	School 17	Lucena City	Jan 8 Team B2
Batangas City(2)	School 18	San Pablo City	Jan 8 Team A2
	School 19	San Pablo City	Jan 8 Team A2
Lipa City (1)	School 20	Sto. Tomas City	Jan 9 Team B1
SDO	NAME OF PISA PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS	ASSIGNED COUNTERPART	Date of validation
Cabuyao City (1)	School 21	Calamba City	Jan 9 Team A1
Tanauan City (2)	School 22	Sto. Tomas City	Jan 9 Team B1
	School 23	Sto. Tomas City	Jan 9 Team B1
Laguna Province(2)	School 24	Tayabas City	Jan 9 Team A2
	School 25	Tayabas City	Jan 9 Team A2
San Pedro City (1)	School 26	San Pablo City	Jan 9 Team A1
Sta. Rosa City (1)	School 27	San Pablo City	Jan 9 Team B2
Binan City (1)	School 28	Calamba City	Jan 9 Team B2

Note: The Regional Executive Committee with the ExeCom may join any Team during the validation.

# REGION IV-A PISA 2025 TIMELINE OF ACTIVITIES

DATES	ACTIVITIES
January	
2	Regional Office Interface Meeting on PISA Preparation
3	Finalization of Regional Issuance, Monitoring Tool, Timeline of Activities, and Ways Forward
3	Familiarization on Khan Academy and Frontlearners platforms for CLMD Chiefs, English, Science, and Mathematics Supervisors, and Regional IT Officers
6	Alignment and Meet and Greet (CO, RO and SDO)
7	Final Learning Session and SEL Plan (c/o CO)
6 - 10	Monitoring and Validation of PISA Participating Schools
8	Training of ESM Teachers
13 & 14	Partnership Convergence to Support PISA Preparations (by SDO)  Menu of needs for possible assistance to SDOs:  • Water jugs • Tumblers
	<ul> <li>Emergency lights</li> <li>Generator</li> <li>Solar panel</li> </ul>
13	<b>Integration Meeting</b> with the Regional Office validating and monitoring team
15	Online Follow-up to PISA Participating Schools (Action Taken via MS Teams (By Team)
Week 1: Jan 16 – 17	<ul> <li>Orientation on PISA and DepEd LMS, Khan Academy, and Frontlearners</li> <li>Orientation on PISA for Parents</li> <li>Conduct of Socio-Emotional Learning (SEL)</li> <li>Note: SDO and school initiatives</li> </ul>
17	<ul> <li>Submission of Weekly Readiness Report</li> <li>Submission of Region 4A PISA Jingle (Open to 23 SDOs)</li> </ul>
Week 2: Jan 20 – 24	Learning Sessions
22	<ul> <li>Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting         Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools</li> <li>Launching of Region 4A PISA Jingle</li> </ul>
24	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
Week 3: Jan 27 – 31	Learning Sessions
29	<ul> <li>Checkpoint</li> <li>Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools</li> </ul>
31	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
	Submission of weekly readmess report
February	1 . 0
Week 4: Feb 3 – 7	Learning Sessions

5	<ul> <li>Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting - Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools</li> </ul>
7	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
Week 5: Feb 10 – 14	Conduct of Socio-Emotional Learning (SEL)
	Learning Sessions
	Checkpoint
12	Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting - Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools
14	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report1
Week 6: Feb 17 -21	Learning Sessions
19	Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting - Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools
21	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
Week 7: Feb 24 - 28	Learning Sessions
26	<ul> <li>Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting - Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools</li> </ul>
	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report
March	
Week 8: Mar 3 - 7	Conduct of Socio-Emotional Learning (SEL)
	Learning Sessions
	Checkpoint
5	<ul> <li>Online School Readiness Audit and Progress Reporting -</li> </ul>
7	Weekly Follow-up meeting to PISA Participating Schools
7	Submission of Weekly Readiness Report  PICA Bandings I complete at
10	PISA Readiness Launching     "CALABARZON: Boody for 2005 PISA"
	"CALABARZON: Ready for 2025 PISA" #RO4APISAReady

## Summary:

## 8 Weeks of Learning Sessions

(24 sessions total, from January 16, 2025 – March 10, 2025) 3 sessions per week

1 session is equivalent to 1 hour of teaching

1 session per learning area

3 sessions on Socio-Emotional Learning (SEL) (targeted week)

3 sessions – checkpoints (targeted weeks)