





11 April 2024

Regional Memorandum

No.258 s.2024

CALL FOR APPLICATIONS FOR REGIONAL LEARNING RESOURCE EVALUATORS (LREs) IN TLE, MAPEH, AND SNED

To Schools Division Superintendents

- 1. The Department of Education Region IV-A CALABARZON, through the Learning Resource Management Section (LRMS) of the Curriculum and Learning Management Division (CLMD), will conduct a Screening and Selection of potential Learning Resource Evaluators (LREs) in accordance with the guidelines stipulated in DepEd Memorandum No. 217, series 2016.
- 2. The primary aim of this activity is to conduct an initial screening and selection of potential LREs in preparation for the development of learning resources for TLE, particularly for Rural Farm Schools (RFS); MAPEH; and Special Needs Education (SNED) programs.
- 3. This opportunity is open to all Master Teachers, Head Teachers, School Heads, District Supervisors, and Education Program Supervisors in the Region with a strong background on teaching learners in RFS, MAPEH, and SNED.
- 4. Below are the Minimum Qualification Standards (MQS) that interested LRE Applicants shall meet:
 - a. Bachelors Degree holder (preferably in Education);
 - b. With at least five (5) years of teaching experience in the learning area s/he is applying as LRE;
 - c. Has at least 24 hours relevant training in the development and evaluation of learning resources;
 - d. Not an author, editor, or consultant of any commercially-developed learning resources submitted to DepEd for procurement for the last three (3) years from the date of his/her application; and
 - e. Is physically fit, willing, and able to travel to attend and participate in an actual content evaluation activity.







Address: Gate 2, Karangalan Village, Cainta, Rizal

Telephone No.: 02-8682-2114

Email Address: region4a@deped.gov.ph

Website: depedcalabarzon.ph



- 5. Interested LRE applicants must submit the following documents to this email address: lrmd.calabarzon@deped.gov.ph on or before **April 19, 2024.**
 - a. Application Letter (signed by immediate head of office) signifying interest to serve as LREs
 - b. Duly accomplished Personal Data Sheet for Potential LREs found in Enclosure No. 2
 - c. Curriculum Vitae
- 6. Applicants are advised to prepare other pertinent documents to support their application as LRE. Original copies of these documents shall be presented later for verification during the scheduled interview. Photocopies should be duly authenticated by a designated officer at the SDO. These supporting documents include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Certified true copies of service records
 - b. Transcript of records
 - c. Certificates of relevant training attended since 2019
 - d. Photocopies of cover and copyright page/s of learning resources written, edited, evaluated, or proofread (include a certificate to attest the LRs have been quality assured)
 - e. Proof of awards/recognition in relation to learning resource development
- 7. Please see the following Enclosures for the documents in relation to the screening and selection of potential LREs.

Enclosure No. 1 Timeline of Activities

Enclosure No. 2 Technical Working Group

Enclosure No. 3 Terms of Reference

Enclosure No. 4 Personal Data Sheet for LREs

Enclosure No. 5 Guide on Rating Qualification Standards

Enclosure No. 6 Qualification Standards From

Enclosure No. 7 Summary of Ratings of LRE Applicants Based on Qualification Standards

Enclosure No. 8 Interview Rating Sheet for LRE Applicants

Enclosure No. 9 Summary Matrix of Ratings of LRE Applicants

- 8. The Regional Screening and Selection Committee (RSSC) shall consolidate the results and come up with a shortlist of paper screening qualifiers per learning area. These qualifiers will undergo the interview which will be scheduled by the Committee. The top qualifiers per learning area shall be part of DepEd CALABARZON's Pool of LREs.
- 9. All expenses to be incurred in activities relative to the orientation; screening and selection; and training and workshops, such as transportation and per diem of the participants, supplies and materials, and other incidental expenses shall be charged to local funds, subject to existing auditing and accounting rules and regulations.

- 10.For clarification and further inquiries, you may contact **HAZEL ANGELYN E. TESORO**, Education Program Supervisor, and **VIERNALYN M. NAMA**, CLMD Chief Education Supervisor, at (02) 8681-2114 local 420.
- 11. Immediate and widest dissemination of this Memorandum is desired.

ATTY. ALBERTO T. ESCOBARTE, CESO II

Regional Director

cc:02/ROC10

Enclosure No. 1

Timeline of Activities relative to the Screening and Selection of Applicants for Learning Resource Evaluators (LREs) in TLE/RFS, MAPEH, and SNED

Date and Time	Activity	Venue/Platform	Person/s Involved
April 01 – 03, 2024	Planning Meetings	CLMD Office	 CLMD Chief LR EPSs TLE/RFS EPS MAPEH EPS SNED Regional Coordinator Regional Librarian Teaching Aid Specialist Administrative Assistant II
April 11 – 19, 2024	Submission Period	Email lrmd.calabarzon@ deped.gov.ph	• LRE Applicants
April 22 – 26, 2024	Phase 1: Initial Paper Screening	CLMD Office	 CLMD Chief LR EPSs TLE/RFS EPS MAPEH EPS SNED Regional Coordinator
April 29 – 30, 2024	Shortlisting Qualified LREs for TLE/RFS, MAPEH, and SNED	CLMD Office	 CLMD Chief LR EPSs TLE/RFS EPS MAPEH EPS SNED Regional Coordinator
May 02 – 03,	Phase 2: Validation of Supporting Documents	Venue TBA	 CLMD Chief LR EPSs TLE/RFS EPS MAPEH EPS SNED Regional Coordinator
2024	Phase 3: Interview to LRE Applicants		 Regional Librarian Teaching Aid Specialist Administrative Assistant II
May 06, 2024	Publishing the List of Qualified LREs	DepEd CALABARZON Website	 CLMD Chief LR EPSs TLE/RFS EPS MAPEH EPS SNED Regional Coordinator

Enclosure 2

Regional Technical Working Group

Chairperson:

VIERNALYN M. NAMA

Chief Education Supervisor

Co-Chairpersons:

DIANNE CATHERINE T. ANTONIO

Education Program Supervisor - LR

HAZEL ANGELYN E. TESORO

Education Program Supervisor - LR

Members:

VIRGILIO O. GUEVARRA, JR.

Education Program Supervisor - TLE/RFS

LOWIESITO O. ERNI

Education Program Supervisor – MAPEH

NORMITA M. DATINGGALING Regional Coordinator – SNED

Secretariat:

LHOVIE C. DAMIAN

Teaching Aids Specialist

NENETH ARCELLE JOY P. LARINAY

Regional Librarian

REDGYNN A. BERNALES Administrative Assistant II

Terms of Reference

a. The Regional Technical Working Group (TWG)

- shall craft the proposal, memorandum, and RBA (for the focal person)
- shall coordinate with the interview venue management and prepare the contract for the event
- shall coordinate with the Supplies Officer for the supplies needed
- shall lead the review and evaluation of presentations to be used for the activity/ies
- shall facilitate the conduct of the activity/ies in the assigned venues
- shall join the team in the conduct of debriefing sessions

b. The Secretariat

- shall prepare the completion report
- shall request the preparation of contracts and supplies
- shall coordinate with the RLREC and RSSC regarding the materials/supplies needed for the screening and selection processes
- shall coordinate with the RLREC and RSSC regarding the documents that need to be accomplished
- shall prepare the documents needed for liquidation
- shall prepare and print certificates of recognition, participation, and appearance
- shall submit the necessary requirements to respective functional divisions after the conduct of the activity/ies

c. The Regional Learning Resource Evaluation Coordinator (RLREC)

- is the CLMD Chief designated by the Regional Director
- is the Chairperson of the RSSC
- shall convene, consult, and orient the RSSC on the Guidelines for the Screening, Selection, and Training of potential LREs
- shall discuss the roles and functions of key players in the screening and selection process
- shall lead, manage, and facilitate the screening and selection process (interview) of LRE applicants conducted by RSSC members
- will simulate the selection process
- will inform the Phase 1 screening qualifiers of the initial screening results and the next steps in the process

d. The Regional Screening and Selection Committee (RSSC)

- is composed of a Chairperson, Co-Chairpersons, and Regional Supervisors per learning area
- shall be organized by the RLREC
- shall review the completeness and authenticity of submitted documents
- shall screen, rate, and rank LRE applicants based on documents they submitted and based on the MQS
- shall interview applicants
- shall rate each applicant using the Interview Rating Sheet

- shall validate screening results
- shall deliberate and decide on the final rating for the MQS and interview of each LRE applicant
- shall accomplish the Summary Matrix of Ratings of LRE applicants arranged from highest to lowest
- shall recommend qualified LRE applicants to be part of DepEd CALABARZON's Regional Pool of LREs
- shall submit to the RLREC the duly accomplished Qualification Standards
 Form and documents of screened and selected LRE applicants who garnered at least 50 points
- shall submit to the RLREC the duly accomplished Qualification Standards
 Form and documents of screened and selected LRE applicants who garnered at least 70 points
- shall ensure that LREs by the Region have no conflict of interest and must reveal personal information necessary to ensure no conflict of interest
- must not give in to undue influence or compromise the integrity of the screening and selection of applicants and content evaluation process
- shall safekeep the documents of all LRE applicants

e. The Regional Pool of Learning Resource Evaluators (LREs)

- are learning area/curriculum/content experts who passed the screening and selection process
- are qualified LREs who attest under oath that they have no conflict of interest and must be willing to reveal information necessary to ensure no conflict of interest
- are qualified LREs who attest under oath that they have been granted permission/authority by his/her superior to serve as evaluator and attend all necessary activities as such
- are qualified LREs who attest under oath that they are willing and able to review materials during the in-house individual and team evaluation workshop
- are qualified LREs who attest under oath that they are physically fit, willing, and able to travel to attend and participate in an actual content evaluation activity lasting from eight (8) to ten (10) days and which may not be held in their province/city
- will quality-assure (i.e., evaluate and review) learning resources submitted to the Department to ensure that quality learning resources are provided to learners
- will thoroughly examine an assigned set of manuscripts for the following aspects: (1) Compliance to Learning Competencies, (2) Accuracy of Content, (3) Presentation and Organization, and (4) Language and Book Design
- may be tapped to assure the quality of localized or contextualized learning resources developed at the school, division, and regional levels

Personal Data Sheet for LREs

(Enclosure No. 2 to DepEd Memorandum No. 217, s. 2016

Attach 2 passport

	for Pot	ential LR Evalua	itors	size recent photos here with your name at the back of the photo.
Name:				
Family	Fire	st	Middle Initial	
Date of Birth:	P	ace of Birth:	Citizer	nship:Sex:
Civil Status:	_ Home Address:			
Designation:				
Office/ School Addre	88:			
Tel. Number:		Cell Nu	ımber:	
Email Address:		Fa	x Number:	
		De		
Address:		Contact	Number:	
Preference 1st preference		ng Area Specialization	K	ey Stage / Grade Level
-	_	Resource Evaluator		□ No
Have you ever se If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou	for what subject a		uently?	No No
Have you ever se If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education	for what subject at gue languages do y nd (starting from the m	nd grade level?you speak and write f	uently?a separate sheet #	No No necessary)
Have you ever se If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education	for what subject a	nd grade level? you speak and write f	uently?	No No necessary)
Have you ever se If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education	for what subject at gue languages do y nd (starting from the m	nd grade level?you speak and write f	uently?a separate sheet #	No No necessary)
Have you ever se If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education	for what subject at gue languages do y nd (starting from the m	nd grade level?you speak and write f	uently?a separate sheet #	No No necessary)
Have you ever se If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education Name of School/	for what subject at gue languages do y and (starting from the m College/ University	nd grade level? you speak and write frost recent and continue on Degree Earned	uently? a separate sheet in Inclusive Dates	No inecessary) Honors Received
Have you ever se If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education Name of School/	for what subject at gue languages do y ind (starting from the m College/ University	nd grade level? you speak and write fi rost recent and continue on Degree Earned	uently?	No inecessary) Honors Received
If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education Name of School/	for what subject at gue languages do y ind (starting from the m College/ University	nd grade level? you speak and write frost recent and continue on Degree Earned	uently?	No I necessary) Honors Received sheet if necessary)
If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education Name of School/	for what subject at gue languages do y ind (starting from the m College/ University	nd grade level? you speak and write fi rost recent and continue on Degree Earned	uently?	No I necessary) Honors Received sheet if necessary)
If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education Name of School/ Service Record (Inc.)	for what subject at gue languages do y ind (starting from the m College/ University	nd grade level? you speak and write fi rost recent and continue on Degree Earned	uently?	No I necessary) Honors Received sheet if necessary)
If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education Name of School/	for what subject at gue languages do a and (starting from the management) College/ University	nd grade level? you speak and write fi rost recent and continue on Degree Earned	uently? a separate sheet inclusive Dates Inclusive Dates attinue on a separate	No I necessary) Honors Received sheet if necessary)
If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education Name of School/ Service Record (Inc. Posit	for what subject at gue languages do a and (starting from the management) College/ University	nd grade level? you speak and write fi rost recent and continue on Degree Earned government service and co Institution/	uently? a separate sheet inclusive Dates Inclusive Dates attinue on a separate	I necessary) Henors Received sheet if necessary) Inclusive Dates
If Yes, when and What mother ton Relevant Backgrou Education Name of School/ Service Record (Inc. Posit	for what subject at gue languages do a and (starting from the management) College/ University	nd grade level? you speak and write fi rost recent and continue on Degree Earned government service and co Institution/	uently? a separate sheet inclusive Dates Inclusive Dates attinue on a separate	I necessary) Henors Received sheet if necessary) Inclusive Dates

Standard Committee Sport Committee Committee

 Compared to the c 1. (0).19 ing the state of t The second of th our de la company de la compan ing the state of the property of the state o en la companya de la compa and the property of the control of t

Title of Learning Resources		Role (e.g., writer, editor, evaluator, proofreader, etc.)		P	Publisher		Year Published	
pecial Studies, Trainin				Releva	ant to	LR Evalua	ation	
om 2010 to the present only; cor Title of eminar/Conference/Training Course	ntinue on a sepa Level (international regional	e.g., i, national,	ecessary) Nature o Participat	1	Co	nducted by	Inclusive Dat	
•								
eferences (Persons not rel Name	ated by consan	guinity or af	Inity to applicate Address	nt)		Telepho	one Number	
			s Declaratio	on				
selected to serve as a Lea	ımıng kespul	rce Evaluat	or, I am awar	e of and	shall	abide with th	he following:	
	t of interest (to me for evaluation assigned to	(i.e., not a luation; any memi	writer, contrib	eutor, co	nsulta evelop	nt, or editor ment team	of any learning	
I do not have conflict resources assigned to a more related or resources for evaluation conflict of interest.	of my ability countable for w.	(i.e., not a luation; any memili to me and y, perform to graphical or glaring e	writer, contrib per of the wr I I am willing the assigne and other energy that I not by my super	ed tasks	nsulta evelop il infor s and conten miss	nt, or editor ment team mation nece ensure that are avoide to point out	of any learning of the learnin	
2. I am not related or resources for evaluation of interest or conflict of interest or conflict of interest or conflict of interest or computational, social that I will be held at resource that I review of the computational or conflict of interest or computational, social that I will be held at resource that I review of the conflict of interest or computational, social that I will be held at resource that I review of the conflict of interest or conflict or c	of my abilit I content, type countable for w. I permission activities rela	(i.e., not a luation; any memilist to me and y, perform tographical or glaring et authority tive thereto han the decided and t	writer, contributer of the willing the assigned and other elemons that I in by my super land.	eutor, co riting/ de to revea ed tasks rrors in c nake or rior (if a	nsulta evelop il informa s and conten miss iny) to	nt, or editor ment team mation nece ensure that are avoide to point out serve as e	of any learning of the learning of them, in page on them, in page of them, in page of them, in page of the learning of them, in page of the pag	
2. I am not related or resources assigned to resources assigned to resources for evaluation no conflict of Interest erformance of Service. 3. I will, to the best computational, social that I will be held at resource that I review. 4. I have been granted attend all necessary infidentiality. 5. I will not duplicate, more full, to any other presource that in the service of the services.	of my ability of mercent, type countable for activities related to the countable for	(i.e., not a luation; any memilist to me and y, perform ographical or glaring of authority tive thereto han the deades of perjuedge and b	writer, contributer of the will am willing the assigned and other energy that I may be made a signated person that the state elief. Should	ed tasks rrors in conake or rior (if a e materia on to co- atements	nsulta evelopal information information s and also or ordinals and a or fai	ment team mation nece ensure the t are avoide to point out serve as e my findings te with and	of any learning of the learning of the learning at grammatical at grammatical decirity of the learning on them, in pareceive any argiven above any of the above	

Guide on Rating Qualification Standards

(Enclosure No. 3a to DepEd Memorandum No. 217 s. 2016

Guide on Rating Qualification Standards

Use this guide to assess the required documents submitted to be able to rank all applicants for a specific learning area, grade level/ key stage, and area of evaluation. Assign the appropriate points to complete the equivalent total scores in ranking the applicants.

Qualification Standards	Point Assignmen
I. Educational Qualifications (25 points)	
Doctoral Degree	25
Complete Academic Requirement for Doctoral Degree	20
Masters (MA) Degree	15
Complete Academic Requirement for Masters Degree	10
Bachelor's Degree	8
II. Work Experience (30 points)	
A. Teaching Experience (15 points)	
Length of experience in teaching, curriculum instruction and/or development, an	d
other relevant jobs related to the subject area where s/he will serve as evaluator:	
5 to 7 years	5
8 to 10 years	10
11 years and above	15
B. Experience Relevant to Evaluating Content, Writing, and Editing (15 points)	
Writing learning resources (published or unpublished)	5
Editing learning resources (published or unpublished	5
Evaluating textbooks or other learning resources	3
Serving as Coach/ Resource Speaker/ Discussant	2
III. Trainings and Workshops (15 points)	
A. Specialized Trainings and Workshops (10 points)	
Participated in trainings and workshops regarding writing, editing, evaluating	g,
and proofreading of learning resources:	
International / National	10
Regional	7.5
Division	5
District / School	2.5
B. Relevant Trainings (5 points)	
A minimum of 24 hours is required to be given a point on relevant training (e.g	
on curriculum, pedagogy, assessment). Assign points only to the highest leve	
regardless of the number of trainings attended.	·
International	5
National	4
Regional	3
Division	2
District / School	1
Total Points	

Enclosure No. 6

Qualification Standards Form

(Enclosure No. 3b to DepEd Memorandum No. Qualification S		m	
•			
Name of Applicant:	Region	/ Division:	
Learning Area / Specialization:	Key Stage /	/ Grade:	
Rate each applicant using validated data in the document Standards (Enclosure No. 3a)	ts submitted and th	e Guide on Rating the Qualifica	tion
Qualification Standards		Details	Points
Educational Qualifications (25 points) Assign po highest level attained	ints only to the	Indicate the Degree Title / Course, No. of Units completed, if applicable	100
a. Doctoral Degree			
b. Complete Academic Requirement for Doctoral D	едгее		
c. Masters (MA) Degree			
d. Complete Academic Requirement for Masters De	egree		
e. Bachelor's Degree			
		Sub-Total	
II. Work Experience (30 points)	No. of Years		
A. Teaching Experience (15 points)			
		Sub-Total	
Experience Relevant to Evaluating Content, Writi (15 points)	ing, and Editing		
b.1 Writing learning resources (published or u	inpublished)		
b.2 Editing learning resources (published or u	npublished		
b.3 Evaluating textbooks or other learning res	ources		
b.4 Serving as Coach/ Resource Speaker/ Disc	ussant		
		Sub-Total	
III. Trainings and Workshops (15 points)	No. of Hours		
A. Specialized Trainings and Workshops (10 points)			
3.a.1 International / National			
3.a.2 Regional			
3.a.3 Division			
3.a.4 District / School			
		Sub-Total	
B. Relevant Trainings (5 points)			
3.b.1 International			
3.b.2 National			
3.b.3 Regional			
3.b.4 Division			
3.b.5 District / School			
		Sub-Total	
	······································	Overali Total Points	

	Qualification Standards Form				
	Qualification Standards Form				
	Accomplished by	: :			
DSSC Member Signature over printed name	DSSC Member Signature over				
·	Validated by:				
RSSC Member Signature over printed name	RSSC Member Signature over printed name	RSSC Member Signature over printed name			
	Recommending Appro	oval by:			
	RSSC Chairperson Signature over printed r	name			
	Approved by:				
	Regional Director Signature over printed r	name			

Enclosure No. 7

Summary of Ratings of LRE Applicants Based on Qualification Standards

Summary of Ratings of Potential LREs Based on Qualification Standards						
Division:		Region:				
earning Area/ Specialization:						
Name (List of Qualified Applicants	Key Stage	Learning Area	Scores			
arranged from Highest to Lowest Score)	Key		Qualification Standards*			
Examples:						
1. Dela Cruz, Juana	Elementary	Mathematics	60			
2. Reyes, Elena	Elementary	Mathematics	58			
	 					
						
 Include only those who got 	50 points or high	er in the Qualification Standards				
Accomplished by:		Reviewed by:				
secompusited by.		Reviewed by.				
DSSC Member		DSSC Member				
Signature over printed name		Signature over printed nam	ie			
Noted by:						
OSSC Chairperson Signature over printed name						

Enclosure No. 8

Interview Rating Sheet for LRE Applicants

	Interview Rating Sheet for Potential Learning Resource	e Eval	uators	i
Aonlica	int:			
, фрос.	(First Name)		/M	.1.)
Design	ation:Subject Area / Specializa		•	•
School	Level: Area of Evaluation: School/Office Address: Division: Re	ogion:		
	e applicant according to this numerical scale: 3 - Excellent; 2 - Very Satisfa			
checkin	ng the appropriate column per item. Write other information which ma on of applicants in the space provided below.			
	Criteria	3	2	1
1.	Communication Skills			
	Speaks and writes effectively in Filipino and English			
2.	Ability to present ideas			
-	Presents well organized and precise ideas with marked command of the language used			
3.	Alertness			ļ
-	Manifests presence of mind and awareness of the environment			<u> </u>
4.	Judgment Description and Audience 1			
	Demonstrates sound judgment			
5.	Leadership ability Able to make decisions and resolve issues			ļ
	Human relations			
0.	Takes initiative to organize work groups, adopt procedures and standards in his/her own level			
7.	Decisiveness			
	Considers alternatives and recommends solutions when faced with problem situations			
8.	Stress tolerance			
_	Exercises high degree of tolerance for tension resulting from increasing volume of work, organizational change, environmental conflict, etc.			
9.				
	Demonstrate good time management		ļ	<u> </u>
10.	Building trust			
	Keeps commitments, confidentiality, integrity, and honesty with colleagues/ teammates; is open to views of others, and takes responsibility for own actions in a conflict resolution			
	Total Points			
Please	provide your comments on this applicant			
	Interviewee's signature over printed name			
Inten	viewee's signature over printed name Interviewee's signature over pri	nted nan	18	
	Date accomplished			

Summary Matrix of Ratings of LRE Applicants

ivision:			Region:		
earning Area/ Specialization	Region: Main Key Stage:				
Name (List of Qualified	key a		Scores		
Applicants arranged from Highest to Lowest Score)	Main Key Stage	Learning Area	Qualification Standards*		Total
Examples:					
1. Dela Cruz, Juana	Elementary	Mathematics	60	27	87
2. Reyes, Elena	Elementary	Mathematics	58	27	85
					
					ļ
					
* ***					
	l				
 Include only the accomplished by: 	ose who got a to	tal score of 70 point	or mgaet		
RSSC Member Signature over printed name		RSSC Member Signature over prin		SSC Member ignature over p	rinted nam
ecommending approval by:		A	pproved by:		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and Direct		
RSSC Chairperson Signature over printed name			egional Director ignature over prin	tad mama	